

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/448,005	11/23/1999	DAVID L. SALGADO	690-008858-U	4554
75	90 10/03/2003		EXAMINER	
JANIK MARCOVICI			SHERRILL, JASON L	
PERMAN & GI				D + D C D + D C D C D C D C D C D C D C
425 POST ROA	AD .		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FAIRFIELD, C	CT 06430		2622	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
09/448,005		SALGADO ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	Jason L Sherrill	2622	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Thereformal recondition	EPLY FILED 8/28/03 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a jection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued nation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) 🗵	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have bee 37 CFR ' (b) above	insions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the corresponding amount of the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the corresponding amount of the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the corresponding amount of the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the corresponding amount of the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the corresponding amount of the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the corresponding amount of the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the final Office action; or (3) as a final Office action; or (4) as a final Office action; or (4
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
3. 🗌 .	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.⊠ I	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b)⊠ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
•	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. 🗌 1	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
	Other:
. +-	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Hashimoto does not teach the registering with the controller that the image on the medium is larger than a predermind size. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to consider that the determination that the image was smaller or larger than a predetermined size would have to be register with the controller ("CPU", 131, Fig. 4, & 130, Fig. 5) in order to carry out the enlargement or reduction operations. Applicant's argument that the cropping and image resolution features in Hashimoto are "always on" and does not disclose or suggest that the controller makes cropping and image resolution features available for user selection if the size or the image being copied is larger than the predetermined image size is not persuasive. As the Applicant argues, the cropping and image resolution features in Hashimoto are "always on", and therefore present for user selection if the size or the image being copied is larger than the predetermined image size, and would perform the same functions of the claimed invention.

EDWARD COLES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600